

# **Development of a Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Substrate for the Detection of Illicit Drugs in Oral Fluid**

**by Rhiannon Alder**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy (Science)**

under the supervision of Professor Shanlin Fu, Dr Linda  
Xiao and Associate Professor Majid Ebrahimi Warkiani

University of Technology Sydney  
Faculty of Science

July 2021

# Certificate of authorship and originality

I, *Rhiannon Alder* declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of *Doctor of Philosophy (Science)* in the *Faculty of Science* at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:      Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 2/07/2021

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank the following people, without whom I would not have been able to complete this PhD journey.

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisors, Professor Shanlin Fu, Dr Linda Xiao and Associate Professor Majid Ebrahimi Warkiani, without their guidance, expertise, and Linda's pipettes, this research would not have been possible.

I would like to thank both the Australian Government Research Training Program and ARC IDEAL Research Hub for providing the funding for this project. I would especially like to thank the connections within the Research Hub, which allowed for several collaborations. I would like to thank my collaborators, Associate Professor Craig Priest and Bin Guan from UniSA, Jungmi Hong and Tony Murphy from CSIRO Lindfield and Dr Murdo Black and Roger Hunt from Alcolizer.

I would like to thank my colleagues in the UTS Drugs and Toxicology Group for providing me with ideas and travelling to conferences with me. I would like to thank my friends who I could always count on for a chat over my Uni Bros lunches (thanks to the guys at Uni Bros for always getting my order and making sure I had enough chips to share and the staff at Cornerstone for making sure I was awake!). I would like to thank Bethany Keen and Laura Clancy for always being up for a rant, and I'd like to thank Joshua Klingberg for putting up with me and sending memes to lighten the mood.

My biggest thanks goes to my family for all of the support you have given me throughout my almost 8 year journey at UTS! To my parents, the almost daily phone calls and visits when possible have helped keep me going when I've felt like giving up. To my partner Kyle, thanks for all the support and listening to my problems when things weren't going as planned. I could not have done it without your continued support and patience. To my dog Baxter, thanks for making me get outside and actually get some fresh air every day. I promise now we can go on more adventures since I'm not attached to this keyboard anymore!

# Table of contents

<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 ILLICIT DRUGS.....	2
1.1.1 <i>Drug Abuse and Impact</i> .....	2
1.1.2 <i>Legislation</i> .....	3
1.1.3 <i>Drug Classes</i> .....	4
1.1.4 <i>Health and Toxicology</i> .....	5
1.2 DRUGS OF ABUSE TESTING IN AUSTRALIA .....	6
1.3 ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE BACKGROUND.....	8
1.3.1 <i>Raman Spectroscopy</i> .....	9
1.3.1.1 Types of Raman Spectroscopy.....	10
1.3.1.2 Quantification using Raman Spectroscopy .....	12
1.3.1.3 Raman Instrumentation.....	13
1.3.1.4 Miniaturisation and Portability .....	14
1.3.2 <i>Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy</i> .....	19
1.4 DEVELOPMENT OF SERS SUBSTRATES.....	21
1.4.1 <i>Commercially Available SERS</i> .....	21
1.4.2 <i>Lab-Synthesised SERS</i> .....	24
1.5 APPLICATIONS OF SERS.....	25
1.6 ANALYSIS OF ILLICIT DRUGS USING SERS.....	26
1.7 PROJECT OBJECTIVES .....	27
<b>CHAPTER 2. COMPARISON OF COMMERCIAL SURFACE-ENHANCED RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY SUBSTRATES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF DRUGS OF ABUSE.....</b>	<b>30</b>
2.1 RATIONALE .....	30
2.2 DETECTION OF COCAINE.....	31
2.2.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....	31
2.2.2 <i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	32

2.2.2.1	Chemical Reagents .....	32
2.2.2.2	Standard Dilutions .....	33
2.2.2.3	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	33
2.2.2.4	SERS Substrates .....	34
2.2.2.5	Raman Analysis .....	34
2.2.2.6	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	34
2.2.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	35
2.2.3.1	SERS Substrate Composition .....	35
2.2.3.2	Comparison at 5000 ng/mL cocaine .....	37
2.2.3.3	Comparison at 1000 ng/mL cocaine .....	40
2.2.3.4	Substrate B Lower Concentrations.....	43
2.2.3.5	Application to Oral Fluid .....	45
2.2.3.6	Limitations.....	47
2.2.4	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	47
2.3	DETECTION OF COCAINE METABOLITES .....	48
2.3.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	48
2.3.2	<i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	48
2.3.2.1	Chemical Reagents .....	48
2.3.2.2	Standard Dilutions .....	48
2.3.2.3	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	48
2.3.2.4	Substrates.....	49
2.3.2.5	Raman Analysis .....	49
2.3.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	49
2.3.3.1	Standard Analysis .....	49
2.3.3.2	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	50
2.3.4	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	52
2.4	DETECTION OF $\Delta$ -9-TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL .....	52
2.4.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	52

2.4.2	Materials and Methods.....	53
2.4.2.1	Chemical Reagents .....	53
2.4.2.2	Standard Dilutions .....	53
2.4.2.3	Substrates.....	53
2.4.2.4	Raman Analysis .....	53
2.4.3	Results and Discussion.....	54
2.4.3.1	Comparison of commercial substrates .....	54
2.4.3.2	Standard Analysis with JASMAT Ag.....	55
2.4.4	Conclusion.....	56
2.5	DETECTION OF METHYLAMPHETAMINE .....	57
2.5.1	Introduction.....	57
2.5.2	Materials and Methods.....	57
2.5.2.1	Chemical Reagents .....	57
2.5.2.2	Standard Dilutions .....	57
2.5.2.3	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	58
2.5.2.4	Substrates.....	58
2.5.2.5	Raman Analysis .....	58
2.5.3	Results and Discussion.....	58
2.5.3.1	Comparison of commercial substrates .....	58
2.5.3.2	Standard Analysis with JASMAT Ag substrates .....	60
2.5.3.3	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	61
2.5.4	Conclusion.....	62
2.6	DETECTION OF FENTANYL SUBSTANCES .....	62
2.6.1	Introduction.....	62
2.6.2	Materials and Methods.....	63
2.6.2.1	Chemical Reagents .....	63
2.6.2.2	Standard Dilutions .....	63
2.6.2.3	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	63

2.6.2.4	Substrates.....	63
2.6.2.5	Raman Analysis.....	63
2.6.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	64
2.6.3.1	Standard Analysis.....	64
2.6.3.2	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	65
2.6.4	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	67
2.7	SEM CHARACTERISATION OF JASMAT AG.....	67
2.7.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	67
2.7.2	<i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	68
2.7.2.1	Chemical Reagents.....	68
2.7.2.2	Standard Dilutions.....	68
2.7.2.3	Scanning Electron Microscopy.....	68
2.7.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	68
2.7.4	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	69
2.8	CONCLUSION.....	69
<b>CHAPTER 3. PILOT STUDY ON THE METHOD DEVELOPMENT FOR THE QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF DRUGS OF ABUSE USING COMMERCIAL SURFACE-ENHANCED RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY SUBSTRATES.....</b>		<b>71</b>
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	71
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	72
3.2.1	<i>Chemical Reagents</i> .....	72
3.2.2	<i>Substrates</i> .....	73
3.2.3	<i>Standard Dilutions</i> .....	73
3.2.4	<i>Oral Fluid Extractions</i> .....	73
3.2.4.1	Pre-Extraction Spiking Extraction.....	73
3.2.4.2	Post Extraction Spiking of Methylamphetamine.....	73
3.2.5	<i>Raman Analysis</i> .....	74
3.2.6	<i>Calibration Curves</i> .....	74
3.2.7	<i>Partial Least Squares Regression</i> .....	74

3.2.8	<i>Pillar Cuvette Extraction</i> .....	75
3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	75
3.3.1	<i>Calibration Curves</i> .....	75
3.3.2	<i>Partial Least Squares Regression Models</i> .....	77
3.3.2.1	Water Standard Models.....	77
3.3.2.2	Pre-Extraction Spiking.....	79
3.3.2.3	Post Extraction Spiking .....	81
3.3.2.4	Ethyl Acetate Standard Models.....	83
3.3.3	<i>Pillar Cuvette Extraction</i> .....	84
3.4	CONCLUSION .....	87
<b>CHAPTER 4. DEVELOPMENT OF A REPRODUCIBLE SURFACE-ENHANCED RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY SUBSTRATES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF DRUGS OF ABUSE.....</b>		<b>89</b>
4.1	RATIONALE .....	89
4.2	PLASMA PRINTED SERS SUBSTRATES.....	90
4.2.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	90
4.2.2	<i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	91
4.2.2.1	Chemical Reagents .....	91
4.2.2.2	Backing Substrates.....	91
4.2.2.3	Deposition Method .....	92
4.2.2.4	Raman Analysis .....	92
4.2.2.5	SEM Analysis .....	93
4.2.2.6	Standard Dilutions .....	93
4.2.2.7	Oral Fluid Extractions.....	94
4.2.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	94
4.2.3.1	Preliminary Testing.....	94
4.2.3.2	No Treatment.....	95
4.2.3.3	Post Treatment .....	95
4.2.3.4	Increasing Deposition Passes .....	96
4.2.3.5	Spatial Distribution .....	98



---

4.2.3.6	Application to Illicit Drugs .....	99
4.2.3.7	Application to Oral Fluid .....	114
4.2.4	Conclusion.....	115
4.3	ANALYSIS OF SERS EFFECT IN NANOPARTICLE SOLUTION .....	115
4.3.1	Introduction.....	115
4.3.2	Materials and Methods.....	116
4.3.2.1	Chemicals .....	116
4.3.2.2	Nanoparticles .....	116
4.3.2.3	Backing Substrates.....	116
4.3.2.4	Standard Solutions .....	116
4.3.2.5	Deposition.....	116
4.3.2.6	Raman Analysis .....	116
4.3.3	Results and Discussion.....	117
4.3.4	Conclusion.....	117
4.4	SOLVENT EVAPORATION DEPOSITIONS .....	117
4.4.1	Introduction.....	117
4.4.2	Materials and Methods.....	118
4.4.2.1	Chemicals .....	118
4.4.2.2	Nanoparticles .....	118
4.4.2.3	Backing Substrates.....	118
4.4.2.4	Standard Solutions .....	119
4.4.2.5	Deposition.....	119
4.4.2.6	Raman Analysis .....	121
4.4.2.7	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	121
4.4.3	Results and Discussion.....	122
4.4.3.1	Pre-mixed Drug .....	122
4.4.3.2	Pipetting.....	124
4.4.3.3	Pipetted Layers .....	131

4.4.3.4	Hydrophobic Surfaces.....	139
4.4.3.5	Graphene.....	143
4.4.3.6	PDA Coatings.....	147
4.4.4	Conclusion.....	151
4.5	ALTERNATIVE COATING METHODS.....	151
4.5.1	Introduction.....	151
4.5.2	Materials and Methods.....	151
4.5.2.1	Chemicals .....	151
4.5.2.2	Nanoparticles .....	152
4.5.2.3	Backing Substrates.....	152
4.5.2.4	Standard Solutions .....	152
4.5.2.5	Deposition.....	152
4.5.2.6	Raman Analysis .....	153
4.5.2.7	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	153
4.5.3	Results and Discussion.....	153
4.5.3.1	Dip Coating.....	153
4.5.3.2	Spin Coating .....	159
4.5.3.3	Vacuum Metal Deposition .....	160
4.5.4	Conclusion.....	163
4.6	PATTERNED DEPOSITIONS .....	163
4.6.1	Introduction.....	163
4.6.2	Materials and Methods.....	164
4.6.2.1	Chemicals .....	164
4.6.2.2	Nanoparticles .....	164
4.6.2.3	Backing Substrates.....	164
4.6.2.4	Standard Solutions .....	164
4.6.2.5	Deposition.....	164
4.6.2.6	Raman Analysis .....	166

4.6.2.7	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	166
4.6.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	167
4.6.3.1	PDMS Microfluidics .....	167
4.6.3.2	Wax Printing .....	177
4.6.3.3	Droplet Array .....	181
4.6.4	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	182
4.7	GROWTH OF GOLD NANOPARTICLES ONTO SUBSTRATES .....	182
4.7.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	182
4.7.2	<i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	182
4.7.2.1	Chemicals .....	182
4.7.2.2	Backing substrates .....	183
4.7.2.3	Standard Solutions .....	183
4.7.2.4	Growth of Gold Nanoparticles .....	183
4.7.2.5	Raman Analysis .....	183
4.7.2.6	Scanning Electron Microscopy .....	183
4.7.3	<i>Results and Discussion</i> .....	184
4.7.3.1	P-SERS .....	184
4.7.3.2	PDA Coated Polystyrene Beads .....	186
4.7.3.3	PDA Coated Nitrocellulose .....	187
4.7.4	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	187
4.8	CONCLUSION .....	187
<b>CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS .....</b>		<b>190</b>
5.1	CONCLUSIONS .....	190
5.2	FUTURE DIRECTIONS .....	191
<b>APPENDIX 1 .....</b>		<b>194</b>

## List of figures

Figure 1-1: Number of worldwide drug-related deaths from 2013-2015 [1, 2].	2
Figure 1-2. Sample adsorbed to SERS metal surface under the influence of the Raman laser.	11
Figure 1-3. Renishaw inVia Raman Microscope schematic from Scientific Examination of Art [29].	14
Figure 2-1. SERS substrates, a) Substrate A, b) Substrate B, c) Substrate C, d) Substrate D, e) Substrate E, f) Substrate F and g) Substrate G, imaged at 10000x magnification with field of view ranging from 11.43-11.75 $\mu\text{m}$ .	37
Figure 2-2. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from seven commercial SERS substrates at 5000 ng/mL concentration.	39
Figure 2-3. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from seven commercial SERS substrates at 1000 ng/mL concentration.	42
Figure 2-4. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard cocaine solutions with decreasing concentrations deposited onto Substrate B.	45
Figure 2-5. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from cocaine extractions deposited onto Substrate B.	46
Figure 2-6. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard benzylicgonine solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate.	49
Figure 2-7. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard ecgonine methyl ester solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate.	50
Figure 2-8. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from benzylicgonine extractions deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate.	51
Figure 2-9. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from ecgonine methyl ester extractions deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate.	52
Figure 2-10. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from five commercial SERS substrates at 5000 ng/mL concentration.	54

Figure 2-11. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from five commercial SERS substrates at 1000 ng/mL concentration. ....	55
Figure 2-12. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard THC solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	56
Figure 2-13. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from three commercial SERS substrates at 5000 ng/mL concentration. ....	59
Figure 2-14. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from three commercial SERS substrates at 1000 ng/mL concentration. ....	60
Figure 2-15. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard methylamphetamine in water solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	61
Figure 2-16. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from methylamphetamine extractions deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	61
Figure 2-17. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard fentanyl solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	64
Figure 2-18. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard norfentanyl solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	65
Figure 2-19. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from fentanyl extractions deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	66
Figure 2-20. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from norfentanyl extractions deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	67
Figure 2-21. SEM analysis of JASMAT Ag substrate without (left) and with (right) 5000 ng/mL cocaine deposited at 50000x magnification and field of view of 2.287 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	69
Figure 3-1. Calibration curves constructed with spectrum intensity at 749 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1003 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ and 1607 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ over the range of 0-1000 ng/mL. ....	76
Figure 3-2. Calibration curves constructed with spectrum intensity at 749 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1003 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ and 1607 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ over the range of 1-1000 ng/mL. ....	76

Figure 3-3. Calibration curves constructed with spectrum intensity at 749 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1003 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ and 1607 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ over the range of 10-1000 ng/mL. ....	77
Figure 3-4. Explained Y-variance for the MA standard calibration (blue) and validation (red) models. ....	78
Figure 3-5. Predicted vs reference plot for methylamphetamine standard calibration (blue) and validation (red) models with target line (black). ....	79
Figure 3-6. Scores plot of methylamphetamine extraction Factor 1 vs Factor 2 with concentrations of samples given in ppm. ....	79
Figure 3-7. Explained Y-variance for the methylamphetamine extraction calibration (blue) and validation (red) models. ....	80
Figure 3-8. Predicted vs reference plot for methylamphetamine extraction calibration (blue) and validation (red) models with target line (black) based on Factor 5. ....	81
Figure 3-9. Explained Y-variance for the methylamphetamine post-extraction spiking calibration (blue) and validation (red) models. ....	82
Figure 3-10. Predicted vs reference plot for methylamphetamine post extraction spiking calibration (blue) and validation (red) models with target line (black) based on Factor 7. ....	82
Figure 3-11. Explained Y-variance for the methylamphetamine in ethyl acetate standard calibration (blue) and validation (red) models. ....	83
Figure 3-12. Predicted vs reference plot for methylamphetamine in ethyl acetate standard calibration (blue) and validation (red) models with target line (black). ....	84
Figure 3-13. Pillar cuvette (top) and placement of JASMAT Ag SERS substrate for 'clean' oral fluid collection (bottom). ....	85
Figure 3-14. Spectra of untreated oral fluid samples blank and with 100 ng/mL methylamphetamine deposited on JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	86
Figure 3-15. Spectra of pillar cuvette treated oral fluid samples blank and with 100 ng/mL methylamphetamine deposited on JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	86

Figure 4-1. Comparison of seven spectra showing cocaine enhancement peaks on archived filter paper substrate. ....	94
Figure 4-2. Two substrate comparison without plasma post treatment. Blank (top) and with cocaine (bottom) spectra. ....	95
Figure 4-3. Thick gold line filter paper substrate with 5000 ng/mL cocaine deposited.....	96
Figure 4-4. Plasma printed substrates with increasing deposition passes, a) 2 passes b) 4 passes c) 6 passes and d) 8 passes, at 10000 x magnification and field of view 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	97
Figure 4-5. Plasma printed substrates with a) 6 pass and b) 8 pass deposition at 50000 x magnification and field of view 2.287 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	97
Figure 4-6. Raman spectra of increasing plasma printed nano-gold deposition passes with 5000 ng/mL cocaine on filter paper. ....	98
Figure 4-7. Heat maps of the spatial distribution of 5000 ng/mL cocaine on 6 pass plasma printed substrate using four characteristic cocaine bands. ....	99
Figure 4-8. Cocaine standards of increasing concentration deposited onto 6 pass plasma printed substrates.....	100
Figure 4-9. Cocaine standards of increasing concentration deposited on commercial P-SERS substrate. ....	103
Figure 4-10. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard cocaine solutions with decreasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag. ....	105
Figure 4-11. Fentanyl standards of increasing concentration deposited onto 6 pass plasma printed substrates.....	108
Figure 4-12. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from standard fentanyl solutions with increasing concentrations deposited onto JASMAT Ag substrate. ....	111
Figure 4-13. Comparison of SERS spectra acquired from extracted cocaine spiked oral fluid at 10 ng/mL and 100 ng/mL deposited onto the 6 pass plasma printed substrate.....	115
Figure 4-14. Methylamphetamine standards pre-mixed with 70 nm gold colloid. ....	123

Figure 4-15. Methylamphetamine standards pre-mixed with silver nanocubes.....	124
Figure 4-16. Background spectra of gold colloid pipetted onto the four substrates air and oven dried. ....	125
Figure 4-17. Pipetted air dry 70 nm gold colloid on glass imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	126
Figure 4-18. Raman maps of caffeine on pipetted silver nanocubes with caffeine found to be present in the green areas a) 1000 ng/mL on Si b) 5000 ng/mL on Si c) 1000 ng/mL on SiO <sub>2</sub> d) 5000 ng/mL on SiO <sub>2</sub> . ....	127
Figure 4-19. Comparison spectra of background, 1000 ng/mL caffeine on silver nanocubes pipetted onto silicon with solid caffeine spectrum.....	128
Figure 4-20. Raman maps of methylamphetamine on pipetted silver nanocubes with methylamphetamine found to be present in the blue or red areas a) 1000 ng/mL on Si b) 5000 ng/mL on Si c) 1000 ng/mL on SiO <sub>2</sub> d) 5000 ng/mL on SiO <sub>2</sub> . ....	129
Figure 4-21. Raman spectra of methylamphetamine on pipetted silver nanocubes at concentrations of 1000 ng/mL on Si, 5000 ng/mL on Si, 1000 ng/mL on SiO <sub>2</sub> and 5000 ng/mL on SiO <sub>2</sub> . ....	129
Figure 4-22. Silver nanocubes pipetted onto a) Si and b) SiO <sub>2</sub> imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ and c) Si and d) SiO <sub>2</sub> imaged at 50000x magnification and field of view 2.347 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	130
Figure 4-23. 10-50 nm gold nanoparticles a) 10-20 nm b) 15-20 nm c) flake-like and d) 35-50 nm imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view of 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	131
Figure 4-24. 70 nm gold nanoparticles on silicon a) 1 layer b) 2 layer c) 3 layer d) 4 layer and silicon dioxide e) 1 layer f) 2 layer g) 3 layer h) 4 layer imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	132
Figure 4-25. Increasing layers of 70 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon with 1000 ng/mL caffeine.....	132
Figure 4-26. Increasing layers of 70 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon dioxide with 1000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	133



Figure 4-27. Increasing layers of 70 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon with 5000 ng/mL caffeine.....	133
Figure 4-28. Increasing layers of 70 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon dioxide with 5000 ng/mL caffeine.....	134
Figure 4-29. 1 layer 70 nm gold substrate blank and with 1000 ng/mL and 5000 ng/mL methylamphetamine.....	134
Figure 4-30. 2 layer 70 nm gold substrate blank and with 1000 ng/mL and 5000 ng/mL methylamphetamine.....	135
Figure 4-31. Silver nanocubes on silicon a) 1 layer b) 2 layer c) 3 layer d) 4 layer and silicon dioxide e) 1 layer f) 2 layer g) 3 layer h) 4 layer imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	135
Figure 4-32. Increasing layers of silver nanocubes deposited on silicon with 1000 ng/mL caffeine.....	136
Figure 4-33. Increasing layers of silver nanocubes deposited on silicon dioxide with 1000 ng/mL caffeine.....	136
Figure 4-34. Increasing layers of silver nanocubes deposited on silicon with 5000 ng/mL caffeine.....	137
Figure 4-35. Increasing layers of silver nanocubes deposited on silicon dioxide with 5000 ng/mL caffeine.....	137
Figure 4-36. 1 layer silver nanocube substrate blank and with 1000 ng/mL and 5000 ng/mL methylamphetamine.....	138
Figure 4-37. 2 layer silver nanocube substrate blank and with 1000 ng/mL and 5000 ng/mL methylamphetamine.....	138
Figure 4-38. 20-30 nm gold nanoparticles on silicon a) 1 layer b) 2 layer c) 3 layer d) 4 layer and silicon dioxide e) 1 layer f) 2 layer g) 3 layer h) 4 layer imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	139
Figure 4-39. Gold colloid pipetted onto hydrophobic glass imaged at 75x magnification and field of view of 1.564 mm.....	140

Figure 4-40. Gold colloid pipetted onto hydrophobic paint imaged at 3000x magnification and field of view of 391.1 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	141
Figure 4-41. Silver nanocubes on hydrophobic paint imaged at 5000x magnification and field of view of 23.47 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	142
Figure 4-42. Silver nanocubes on hydrophobic paint blank and with 1000 ng/mL caffeine. .	143
Figure 4-43. Graphene pipetted onto aluminium imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	144
Figure 4-44. Graphene then gold pipetted onto aluminium imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	145
Figure 4-45. 50:50 Graphene gold pipetted onto aluminium imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	146
Figure 4-46. 50:50 Graphene gold pipetted onto aluminium imaged at 5000x magnification and field of view of 23.47 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	146
Figure 4-47. Background spectra of pipetted graphene, graphene then gold and 50:50 graphene and gold.....	147
Figure 4-48. Predicted structure of gold coated polystyrene beads. ....	148
Figure 4-49. 70 nm gold decorated PDA-coated polystyrene deposited onto nitrocellulose imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view of 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	149
Figure 4-50. 70 nm gold on PDA coated nitrocellulose imaged at 2000x magnification and field of view 57.16 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	150
Figure 4-51. Background spectra of gold colloid dip coated onto the three substrates and air or oven dried.....	154
Figure 4-52. Dip coated air dry gold colloid on silicon imaged at 10 kV with field of view of 23.47 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	155
Figure 4-53. Dip coated oven dry 70 nm gold colloid on silicon imaged at 5000x magnification with field of view of 23.47 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	156

Figure 4-54. Silver nanocubes dip coated onto silicon imaged at 1000x magnification with a width of field of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ . .....	157
Figure 4-55. Background spectra of silver nanocubes dip coated onto silicon and silicon dioxide. ....	157
Figure 4-56. Raman maps of caffeine on dip and flip deposited silver nanocubes with caffeine found to be present in the green areas a) 1000 ng/mL on Si b) 5000 ng/mL on Si c) 1000 ng/mL on $\text{SiO}_2$ d) 5000 ng/mL on $\text{SiO}_2$ . ....	158
Figure 4-57. Spectrum of 5 000 ng/mL caffeine on silver nanocubes dip coated onto silicon. ....	158
Figure 4-58. Comparison spectra background and 1000 ng/mL caffeine on gold colloid spin coated and pipetted onto aluminium. ....	159
Figure 4-59. VMD deposited gold on silicon imaged at $\sim 500000\times$ magnification and field of view of 245.0 nm. ....	160
Figure 4-60. Background spectra of 1 layer VMD coated surfaces. ....	161
Figure 4-61. Triple layer VMD gold deposition on aluminium imaged at 120000x magnification and field of view of 997.8 nm. ....	162
Figure 4-62. 3 layer VMD spectra blank and with 1000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	163
Figure 4-63. PDMS microfluidics chips with square wells (top) and hexagonal wells (bottom). ....	165
Figure 4-64. SEM images of substrates developed using microfluidics deposition on silicon imaged at a) Square well deposition at 1.173 nm field of view 100x magnification b) Square well deposition at 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ field of view 1000x magnification c) Hexagonal well deposition at 1.173 nm field of view 100x magnification d) Hexagonal well deposition at 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ field of view 1000x magnification. ....	167
Figure 4-65. Hexagonal and square well substrates blank and with 5000 ng/mL methylamphetamine. ....	168
Figure 4-66. SEM image of hydrophobic glass with attempted microfluidics deposition at 234.7 $\mu\text{m}$ field of view 500x magnification. ....	169

Figure 4-67. Six wells imaged at 5000x magnification and field of view of 23.47 $\mu\text{m}$ showing the variability of nanoparticle deposition onto the salinized silicon surface. ....	170
Figure 4-68. Fluorescent nanoparticles on silicon imaged with VSC8000. Hexagonal welled substrate a) silicon and PDMS chip at 7.28x magnification under white light b) silicon and PDMS chip at 7.28x magnification under 485-590 nm c) silicon at 44.35x magnification under 485-590 nm d) PDMS chip at 44.35x magnification under 485-590 nm and square welled substrate e) silicon and PDMS chip at 7.28x magnification under white light f) silicon and PDMS chip at 7.28x magnification under 485-590 nm g) silicon at 44.35x magnification under 485-590 nm h) PDMS chip at 44.35x magnification under 485-590 nm. ....	172
Figure 4-69. Silanised silicon substrate after freezing and removal of PDMS chip. ....	172
Figure 4-70. Liquid nitrogen flash frozen microfluidics device. ....	173
Figure 4-71. Liquid nitrogen flash frozen substrate imaged at 50x magnification and field of view of 2.287 mm. ....	174
Figure 4-72. Liquid nitrogen flash frozen substrates imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view of 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	174
Figure 4-73. Dry ice flash frozen microfluidics device from left to right frozen, liquid and dry. ....	175
Figure 4-74. Dry ice flash frozen substrate imaged at 50x magnification and field of view of 2.287 mm. ....	175
Figure 4-75. Dry ice flash frozen substrate imaged at 10000x magnification and field of view of 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	176
Figure 4-76. Liquid nitrogen and dry ice substrates blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine. ....	176
Figure 4-77. Microfluidics device deposition onto the wax paper sample. ....	177
Figure 4-78. Microfluidics device wax paper at 20000 x magnification field of view 5.736 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	178
Figure 4-79. Direct pipetted wax paper sample with excess gold nanoparticle solution. ....	178
Figure 4-80. Direct pipetted wax paper at 10000 x magnification field of view 11.43 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	179

Figure 4-81. Submersion wax paper at 20000x magnification field of view 5.736 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	180
Figure 4-82. Raman spectra of the wax printed samples blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine. .....	181
Figure 4-83. Droplet array deposition of 70 nm gold nanoparticles onto a) nitrocellulose and b) copy paper imaged at 20000x magnification with field of view of 5.716 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	181
Figure 4-84. Trisodium citrate growth on a) P-SERS and b) extra paper at 20000x magnification and field of view of 5.716 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	184
Figure 4-85. Hydroxylamine hydrochloride growth on P-SERS with imaged at 20000x magnification and field of view of 5.716 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	185
Figure 4-86. Hydroxylamine hydrochloride growth on extra paper imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view of 114.3 $\mu\text{m}$ .....	185
Figure 4-87. Hydroxylamine hydrochloride growth on P-SERS and extra paper blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine, a) without cocaine bands detected and b) with cocaine bands detected. .....	186
Figure A-1. Analysis of cocaine in 70 nm gold nanoparticle solution.....	211
Figure A-2. Caffeine standards pre-mixed with 20-30 nm gold colloid. ....	211
Figure A-3. Spectra of 1000 ng/mL caffeine on 70 nm gold colloid pipetted onto the four substrates air and oven dried.....	212
Figure A-4. Pipetted oven dry 70 nm gold colloid on $\text{SiO}_2$ imaged at 100 x magnification and field of view of 1.717 mm.....	212
Figure A-5. Spectra of 10-50 nm gold nanoparticles blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine. ..	213
Figure A-6. Increasing layers of 20-30 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon with 1000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	213
Figure A-7. Increasing layers of 20-30 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon dioxide with 1000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	214

Figure A-8. Increasing layers of 20-30 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon with 5000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	214
Figure A-9. Increasing layers of 20-30 nm gold nanoparticles deposited on silicon dioxide with 5000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	215
Figure A-10. 70 nm gold nanoparticles on hydrophobic glass blank and with 1000 ng/mL caffeine.....	215
Figure A-11. Gold colloid on hydrophobic paint blank and with 1000 ng/mL and 5000 ng/mL caffeine.....	216
Figure A-12. Spectra of 1000 ng/mL caffeine on pipetted graphene, graphene then gold and 50:50 graphene and gold.....	216
Figure A-13. Graphene on hydrophobic glass surface blank and with 1000 ng/mL caffeine.	217
Figure A-14. Gold decorated PDA coated polystyrene blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine.	217
Figure A-15. 70 nm gold deposited onto PDA coated nitrocellulose blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine. ....	218
Figure A-16. Concentrated 70 nm gold deposited onto PDA coated nitrocellulose blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine.....	218
Figure A-17. Silver nanocubes deposited onto PDA coated nitrocellulose blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine.....	219
Figure A-18. 70 nm silver deposited onto PDA coated nitrocellulose blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine. ....	219
Figure A-19. Spectra of 1000 ng/mL caffeine on 70 nm gold colloid dip coated onto the three substrates and air or oven dried.....	220
Figure A-20. Silver nanocubes dip coated onto silicon dioxide imaged at 1000x magnification with a width of field of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	220
Figure A-21. Graphene dip and flip deposited onto silicon imaged at 1000x magnification and field of view of 117.3 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	221

---

Figure A-22. Graphene dip coated onto silicon blank and with 1000 ng/mL caffeine. ....	221
Figure A-23. Spectra of 1000 ng/mL caffeine on 1 layer VMD coated surfaces.....	222
Figure A-24. 0.45 $\mu\text{m}$ and 8 $\mu\text{m}$ nitrocellulose substrates blank and with 5000 ng/mL methylamphetamine.....	222
Figure A-25. Submersion wax printed sample after drying.....	222
Figure A-26. Droplet array substrates on copy paper and nitrocellulose with and without 5000 ng/mL cocaine.....	223
Figure A-27. Growth on P-SERS and extra paper blank and tested with 5000 ng/mL cocaine. ....	223
Figure A-28. Hydroxylamine hydrochloride growth on nitrocellulose blank and with 5000 ng/mL cocaine.....	224

## List of tables

Table 1-1: Categories of Analytical Techniques by SWGDRUG Category, from SWGDRUG Recommendations Version 7.0 [40].....	8
Table 1-2: Commercially available handheld/portable Raman spectrometers.....	15
Table 1-3: Commercially available SERS substrates showing nanoparticle type, backing substrate, and deposition technique. ....	23
Table 2-1. Commercially available SERS substrates showing nanoparticle type, backing substrate, deposition technique, particle size, size of active area and cost per substrate. ....	36
Table 2-2. Comparison of cocaine bands detected in solid and at 5000 ng/mL deposited on commercial SERS substrates. ....	38
Table 2-3. Comparison of cocaine bands detected in solid and at 1000 ng/mL deposited on commercial SERS substrates. ....	41
Table 2-4. Cocaine bands present with decreasing concentrations on Substrate B. ....	44
Table 2-5. Cocaine bands present after extraction from spiked oral fluid on Substrate B.....	46
Table 4-1. Cocaine bands present with decreasing concentrations on 6 pass plasma printed substrate. ....	101
Table 4-2. Cocaine bands present with decreasing concentrations on P-SERS.....	104
Table 4-3. Cocaine bands present with decreasing concentrations on JASMAT Ag. ....	106
Table 4-4. Fentanyl bands present with decreasing concentrations on 6 pass plasma printed substrate. ....	109
Table 4-5. Fentanyl bands present with decreasing concentrations on JASMAT Ag.....	112
Table 4-6. EDS results showing weight and atomic percentage per element detected. ....	162



## Abbreviations

ANN	Artificial neural networks
ATS	Amphetamine type substances
CBD	Cannabidiol
CCD	Charged coupled device
CTAB	Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide
CV	Crystal violet
CVD	Chemical vapour deposition
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EBL	Electron beam lithography
EDS	Energy dispersive x-ray spectrometry
EF	Enhancement factor
EHT	Electron high tension
FT	Fourier Transform
FWHM	Full line width at half maximum intensity
GBD	Global burden of disease
GC-MS	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
HAuCl <sub>4</sub>	Tetrachloroauric(III) acid

HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
KMnO <sub>4</sub>	Potassium permanganate
K-PLS	Kernel-partial least squares models
LC-MS	Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry
LLE	Liquid-liquid extraction
LOQ	Limit of quantification
LSD	Lysergic acid diethylamide
MDMA	3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine
MLR	Multiple linear regression
NA	Numerical aperture
NBOMes	N-methoxy benzyl compounds
NC	Nanocubes
NIL	Nanoimprint lithography
NIR	Near infrared
NSL	Nanostencil lithography
NSW	New South Wales
OWL	On-wire lithography

PCA	Principal component analysis
PCR	Principal component regression
PDA	Polydopamine
PEI	polyethyleneimine
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane
PFDT	Perfluorodecanethiol
PLS	Partial least squares regression
RR	Resonance Raman
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SERRS	Surface-enhanced resonance Raman spectroscopy
SERS	Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy
SM	Single-molecule
SORS	Spatially offset Raman spectroscopy
SPE	Solid-phase extraction
SVM	Support vector machine
SWGDRUG	Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs
TERS	Tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy
THC	$\Delta$ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol

UV            Ultraviolet

UV-Vis        Ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy

## Publications

The works labelled with an asterisk are included in this thesis.

### Journal Articles

#### 2018

Xiao, L, Alder, R, Mehta, M, Krayem, N, Civasinni, B, Laracy, S, Cameron, S & Fu, S 2018, 'Development of a quantitative method for the analysis of cocaine analogue impregnated into textiles by Raman spectroscopy.', *Drug testing and analysis*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 761-767

#### 2020

\*Alder, R, Xiao, L, & Fu, S 2020, 'Comparison of commercial surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy substrates for the analysis of cocaine.', *Drug testing and analysis*, DOI:10.1002/dta.2894

#### 2021

\* Alder, R, Hong, J, Chow, E, Fang, J, Isa, F, Ashford, B, Comte, C, Bendavid, A, Xiao, L, Ostrikov, K, Fu, S, Murphy, A B 2021, 'Application of Plasma-Printed Paper-Based SERS Substrate for Cocaine Detection.' *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 810

### Conference Presentations

#### 2017

Rhiannon Alder, Shimpei Watanabe, Declan Stockdale, Linda Xiao, Yu Wong, Shanlin Fu, 'Development of a Raman spectroscopic method for the determination of illicit substances in seized materials', 9<sup>th</sup> *Forensic & Clinical Toxicology Association Conference, Melbourne, Victoria, 19-22 November 2017*

**2018**

\*Rhiannon Alder, Linda Xiao, Olga Shimoni, Xing Yi Ling and Shanlin Fu, 'Development of a Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Substrate for Illicit Drug Detection', *Australia and New Zealand Forensic Science Society 24th International Symposium, Perth, Western Australia, 9-13 September 2018*

**2019**

\*Rhiannon Alder, Linda Xiao and Shanlin Fu, 'Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy towards low level detection of drugs', *10<sup>th</sup> Forensic and Clinical Toxicology Association Conference, Adelaide, South Australia, 16-19<sup>th</sup> June 2019*

\*Rhiannon Alder, Linda Xiao and Shanlin Fu, 'Detection of fentanyl and methamphetamine using surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy', *The 57<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the International Association of Forensic Toxicologists, Birmingham, UK 2<sup>nd</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> September 2019*

**Conference Poster****2019**

\*Rhiannon Alder, Linda Xiao, Shanlin Fu, 'Detection of illicit drugs in oral fluid by surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy', *Faculty of Science Transdisciplinary ECR Retreat, University of Technology Sydney 29<sup>th</sup> November 2019*

## Abstract

Drug abuse is a worldwide issue which has led to an increasing need for on-site testing. Current on-site tests for illicit drugs are immunostrip devices which only test for a select number of analytes and require confirmatory laboratory testing. Raman spectroscopy is a non-destructive technique which when coupled with more sensitive Raman methods, such as surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS), could allow for this technique to be used for the detection of illicit drugs at lower levels. This study investigated the development and use of SERS for the analysis of illicit drugs in oral fluid.

The first aim of the study was to benchmark commercially available SERS substrates for the analysis of illicit drugs. Seven commercially available SERS substrates were tested, and the substrate which enhanced the most analyte bands consistently was the JASMAT Ag substrate. The JASMAT Ag substrate was tested with several illicit drugs and their metabolites both as standards and extracted from oral fluid. All of the analytes, except THC, were able to be detected down to at least 10 ng/mL in standard solutions. The analytes extracted from oral fluid showed less analyte specific bands.

The second aim of the study was to use SERS for the quantification of methylamphetamine in oral fluid solutions. Two quantification methods were trialled for quantification; calibration curves using peak intensity and partial least squares regression (PLS). The PLS quantification attempts with oral fluid extraction samples revealed a negative interaction between the organic solvent and the JASMAT Ag SERS substrate. This resulted in an alternative pillar cuvette extraction method being trialled. The pillar cuvettes allowed for the tentative detection of methylamphetamine at a concentration of 100 ng/mL.

The third aim of the study was to develop a SERS substrate which was reproducible, cheap and able to enhance the analyte bands to the standard of the best performing commercial substrate. Many methods of SERS development were attempted, however, the only method which produced a reliable SERS substrate for illicit drug analysis was the plasma printing method. The 6 pass plasma printed substrate enhanced a similar number of bands to the JASMAT Ag substrate in the analysis of cocaine and fentanyl.

In conclusion, SERS has been shown to be a promising technology for the analysis of illicit drugs in oral fluid. This research has built foundations for the future development of an on-site collection, extraction and SERS device for oral fluid analysis of illicit drugs.